

SANITY AGAIN DOUBTED

Star 8/10/72

Bremer Asks New Trial

By ALER R. PRESTON
Star-News Staff Writer

A motion for a new trial has been filed by the attorney for Arthur H. Bremer, convicted in Prince Georges County Circuit Court last week of the shooting of Alabama Gov. George C. Wallace.

Benjamin Lipsitz filed the motion yesterday, claiming that the jury's finding that Bremer was sane at the time of the May 15 shooting for which he was sentenced to 63 years, was contrary to the evidence.

Lipsitz also claimed Maryland law that Judge Ralph W. Powers erred in his instructions to the jury and that the verdict was contrary to Maryland law.

Another claim by the attorney was that at least one juror

saw a CBS television film of the shooting before it was shown in the courtroom during the trial. He did not specify the juror but its foreman, Vincent M. Tell, said after the trial he had seen the news film "several times" before it was shown in court.

JUDGE POWERS is on vacation and is not expected to rule on the new trial motion until later this month.

Meanwhile, a decision to prosecute the 21-year-old Bremer on a four-count federal indictment probably will not be made until September because Chief U.S. District Judge Edward S. Northrop is on vacation.

The federal indictments accuse Bremer of shooting a presidential candidate, of wounding Secret Service agent Nicholas Zinos, and of two weapon charges. The maxi-

mum penalty on those charges is 40 years imprisonment.

Bremer, according to a state correction official quoted by the Associated Press, has not been cooperating with state psychiatrists and has resisted psychiatric tests at the Maryland Penitentiary.

"HE'S NOT cooperating 100 percent," said Robert Grams, a corrections spokesman. "He has refused several attempts at some psychiatric tests so far."

In Bremer's hometown of Milwaukee, his father, William, announced he was starting a fund to help pay for an appeal which he estimated would cost \$2,000.

Edward P. Camus, the Prince Georges public defender, said that if the defendant can prove he is indigent, the state will pay the cost of an appeal.